



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/749,102	12/27/2000	Thomas J. Schubring	1100.1111101	6260
128	7590 08/10/200	EXAMINER		INER
HONEYWE	ELL INTERNATION	PATEL, RAMESH B		
P O BOX 22			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			2121	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/749,102	SCHUBRING ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ramesh B. Patel	2121
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ce under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-20,53-54 and 56</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2 canceled</u>	and 21-52 and 55.	
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:		
BEST AVAIL	ABLE COPY	Ramesh B. Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2121

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added features in claim(s) require further analysis and searching such as claim 1. Similar efforts will be need on the amended and/or added claim(s). Such request and efforts are appropriate under a Request for Continuing Examination (RCE).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the remark(s) as stated above in # 2 and the applicant has amended claim(s) such as claim 1 and/or added claims such as claims 57-61 without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY